

Evaluating the Role of Healthcare Access on Prenatal Vaccination Rates in the U.S.

Paulina Villanueva^{1,2}, Annette Regan³





Learning Objective

- To compare vaccination rates for influenza and Tdap against different health insurance types using the Pregnancy Risk Assessment and Monitoring System (PRAMS).
- To compare provider influenza vaccine recommendation against different health insurance types using PRAMS.

Background

- Pregnant women are at an increased risk of hospitalization from influenza compared to non-pregnant women (1).
- The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends influenza vaccination for all women who are pregnant or may become pregnant during influenza season and Tdap vaccination during each pregnancy, preferably during gestational weeks 27-36 (2, 3).
- Vaccination remains low. During 2019-20 Influenza season, 61.2% of pregnant women received influenza vaccination, 56.6% received Tdap vaccination and 40.3% of women received both (3).
- Predictors of vaccination include vaccine knowledge, race/ethnicity, and maternal age, physician recommendation and health insurance (4).
- It was reported that women with private/military insurance have higher vaccination rates of influenza and Tdap (55.3%, 58.8% respectively) compared to women with public insurance (44.2%, 50.8%) or no insurance (30.1%, not reported) (3).

Methods

- PRAMS was developed in 1987 as a surveillance project by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and state health departments to collect state-specific, population-based data via questionnaire on maternal attitudes and experiences before, during, and after pregnancy.
- We used the Phase 8 (2016-2018) multi-state PRAMS dataset which included 52,247 respondents with information on influenza and Tdap vaccination (eligibility criteria).
- Primary outcomes: fully vaccinated, partially vaccinated or unvaccinated.
- Secondary outcome: provider recommendation.
- Primary predictor: health insurance type.
- Secondary Predictor: change in health insurance.
- Confounding variables: maternal age, race/ethnicity, household income, maternal education, urban/rural residency, and marital status.
- Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate adjusted odds ratios (OR) comparing the odds of partial vaccination or full vaccination versus unvaccinated and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI).
- Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software.

Tables

Table 1. Odds of vaccination by health insurance status during pregnancy – 22 states. PRAMS, 2016-2018.

Health Insurance	Unvaccinated	Partially	Partially vs.	Fully Vaccinated	Fully vs.
During		Vaccinated	Unvaccinated		Unvaccinated
Pregnancy	Weighted %	Weighted %	Adjusted OR	Weighted %	Adjusted OR
	(95% CI)				
Private	12.2 (11.5, 12.8)	25.5 (24.7, 26.3)	Reference	62.3 (61.4, 63.3)	Reference
Medicaid	21.6 (20.7, 22.5)	34.1 (33.0, 35.1)	0.73 (0.62, 0.87)	44.3 (43.3, 45.4)	0.68 (0.58, 0.80)
Health Care	19.8 (17.4, 22.2)	32.5 (29.5, 35.5)	0.74 (0.58, 0.94)	47.7 (44.5, 50.9)	0.62 (0.49, 0.78)
Exchange					
SCHIP/CHIP	21.7 (16.4, 27.0)	28.0 (22.2, 33.9)	0.61 (0.38, 0.98)	50.3 (43.7, 56.8)	0.66 (0.43, 1.01)
Other	24.8 (19.7, 29.8)	31.7 (26.2, 37.2)	0.61 (0.42, 0.89)	43.5 (37.7, 49.4)	0.55 (0.38, 0.78)
government					
Military	14.3 (10.9, 17.7)	29.5 (25.3, 33.7)	1.36 (0.83, 2.22)	56.2 (51.7, 60.7)	1.30 (0.82, 2.06)
IHS	16.0 (11.8, 20.1)	35.7 (30.8, 40.7)	0.96 (0.65, 1.43)	48.3 (43.2, 53.4)	0.88 (0.60, 1.31)
Other insurance	22.4 (19.7, 25.1)	31.6 (28.6, 34.6)	0.66 (0.49, 0.87)	46.0 (42.7, 49.3)	0.60 (0.44, 0.81)
No insurance	37.4 (34.3, 40.6)	27.3 (24.4, 30.1)	0.37 (0.28, 0.49)	35.3 (32.3, 38.3)	0.30 (0.24, 0.39)
Health Insurance					
Change During					
Pregnancy					
Became	29.2 (25.3, 33.2)	30.2 (26.3, 34.2)	0.52 (0.37, 0.73)	40.5 (36.5, 44.5)	0.47 (0.36, 0.63)
Uninsured					
Became Private	20.4 (12.1, 28.7)	36.5 (25.4, 47.6)	1.6 (0.75, 3.54)	43.1 (3.2, 54.0)	0.85 (0.40, 1.8)
Insured					
Became Public	21.8 (19.8, 23.8)	33.1 (30.9, 35.3)	0.87 (0.72, 1.05)	45.1 (42.8, 47.5)	0.78 (0.65, 0.93)
Insured					
Maintained	15.6 (15.1, 16.2)	28.3 (27.6, 29.0)	Reference	56.1 (55.3, 56.9)	Reference
Same Insurance					
Status					
Maintained No	48.2 (43.1, 53.3)	22.4 (18.2, 26.5)	0.38 (0.26, 0.56)	29.4 (24.7, 34.1)	0.28 (0.19, 0.42)
Insurance					, , , , , , , , ,
modranec					

Table 2. Odds of receiving flu vaccine recommendation by health insurance status and during a health insurance change – 22 states, PRAMS, 2016-2018.

Health Insurance	Recommended Influenza Vaccine During Pregnancy				
During Pregnancy	Weighted % (95% CI)	Unadjusted OR (95%	Adjusted OR		
		CI)	(95% CI)		
Private	91.0 (90.5, 91.6)	Reference	Reference		
Medicaid	84.3 (83.5, 85.0)	0.53 (0.48, 0.58)	0.94 (0.80, 1.12)		
Health Care	86.0 (83.9, 88.2)	0.61 (0.50, 0.74)	0.95 (0.74, 1.22)		
Exchange					
SCHIP/CHIP	76.6 (71.0, 82.3)	0.32 (0.23, 0.45)	0.66 (0.43, 0.99)		
Other Government	75.8 (70.7, 81.0)	0.31 (0.23, 0.41)	0.62 (0.44, 0.88)		
Military	90.3 (87.6, 93.1)	0.92 (0.67, 1.27)	1.59 (1.03, 2.47)		
IHS	87.9 (84.9, 90.9)	0.72 (0.54, 0.96)	1.34 (0.95, 1.89)		
Other Insurance	82.9 (80.4, 85.4)	0.48 (0.40, 0.58)	0.96 (0.71, 1.28)		
No Insurance	67.2 (64.2, 70.3)	0.20 (0.17, 0.24)	0.38 (0.30, 0.49)		
Health Insurance					
Change During					
Pregnancy					
Became Uninsured	75.9 (72.2, 79.6)	0.38 (0.31, 0.47)	0.48 (0.35, 0.65)		
Became Private	78.0 (67.5, 88.5)	0.43 (0.23, 0.79)	0.47 (0.21, 1.06)		
Insured					
Became Public	77.6 (75.6, 79.7)	0.42 (0.37, 0.48)	0.59 (0.49, 0.70)		
Insured					
Maintained Same	89.2 (88.7, 89.7)	Reference	Reference		
Insurance Status					
Maintained No	56.9 (51.8, 62.0)	0.16 (0.13, 0.20)	0.26 (0.19, 0.37)		
Insurance					

Results

- Those with private insurance had a full vaccination rate of 62.3% and partial vaccination rate of 25.5%.
- Pregnant women insured with Medicaid were 32% less likely to be fully vaccinated.
- Those who reported Health Care Exchange as their insurance provided were 38% less likely to be fully vaccinated.
- Those with other government insurance, other insurance and no insurance were less likely to be fully vaccinated when compared to private insurance.
- Those who became uninsured, publicly insured and those who remained uninsured were less likely to be fully vaccinated when compared to those who maintained the same health insurance during their pregnancy.
- Those with SCHIP/CHIP, other government insurance, and those who are uninsured were less likely to receive a flu vaccine recommendation when compared to those with private insurance.
- Those women who became publicly insured, became uninsured or maintained no health insurance were less likely to be recommended flu vaccination by a healthcare provider.

Limitations

- Not all states (e.g., California, Ohio and Idaho) participate in PRAMS surveillance.
- Reporting bias.

Conclusion

- We identified differences in vaccination rates based on health insurance status. Those with Medicaid, HCE, other government, other insurance, or no insurance were less likely to be fully vaccination.
- Women who became uninsured, became publicly insured or had no insurance were less likely to receive a provider recommendation.

References

- 1. Mertz D, Geraci J, Winkup J, Gessner BD, Ortiz JR, Loeb M. Pregnancy as a risk factor for severe outcomes from influenza virus infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Vaccine 2017; 35(4): 521-
- 2. Lindley MC, Kahn KE, Bodenheimer BH, et al. Vital Signs: Burden and Prevention of Influenza and Pertussis Among Pregnant Women and Infants United States. MMWR Morb Mortality Wkly Rep. 2019;68(40):885-892. Published 2019 Oct 11.
- 3. Razzaghi H, Kahn KE, Black CL, et al. Influenza and Tdap Vaccination Coverage Among Pregnant Women—United States, April 220. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1391-1397.
- 4. Updated recommendations for use of tetanus toxoid, reduced diphtheria toxoid, and acellular pertussis vaccine (Tdap) in pregnant women Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2012. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2013;62:131-5.
- 5. Myers KL. Predictors of Maternal Vaccination in the United States: an Integrative Review of the Literature. Vaccine 2016;34(34):3942-9.
- 6. Holly B. Shulman, Denise V. D'Angelo, Leslie Harrison, Ruben A. Smith, and Lee Warner, 2018: The Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS): Overview of Design and Methodology. American Journal of Public Health 108, 1305_1313, https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.304563